Recommendations on the Reporting Format and Layout of

Pharmacoeconomic Submissions

to the

National Centre for Pharmacoeconomics



October 2010

Version 1.0

Record of Updates

Version	Date	Description of changes
1.0	27.10.2010	

Introduction

The following document outlines the recommendations for the preferred reporting format and layout of manufacturer submissions to the National Centre for Pharmacoeconomics (NCPE).

Recommendations on data to be included and method of inclusion are provided. All data used to demonstrate clinical and cost-effectiveness must be presented clearly and include details of data sources. Tabular and graphical presentation guidelines are discussed where appropriate and necessary. The recommendations in this document should be used in conjunction with the Guidelines for the Economic Evaluation and the Budget Impact Analysis Guidelines for Health Technologies in Ireland 2010 (currently under development and will be available at: <u>www.hiqa.ie</u>).

The submission should be divided into core sections as follows:

Executive Summary Section 1 – Background Section 2 – Clinical Evidence Section 3 – Description of Economic Evaluation Section 4 – Results of Cost-Effectiveness Analysis Section 5 – Analysis of Uncertainty Section 6 – Budget Impact Analysis Section 7 – Conclusion Bibliography Appendices

Please Note: Provision of the economic and budget impact models in an easy-to-use format to allow in-house data validation is desirable for reviewers at the NCPE.

This document may be updated periodically, therefore please refer to <u>www.ncpe.ie</u> to obtain the most recent version.

Executive Summary

An executive summary consisting of no more than two pages should preface the document encompassing an overview of the submission and the main findings of the economic evaluation.

Section 1 – Background Information

	Text Requirements ¹	Recommended Tables and Figures
1.1	Describe the condition	
-	Provide an overview of the clinical condition	
-	Include standard diagnostic criteria/testing devices where	
	appropriate	
-	Disease classification (define subclasses where necessary and	
	relevant)	
-	Outline the proposed target population for the	
	pharmacoeconomic assessment	
1.2	Epidemiology:	Figure: Natural history of the condition
-	Provide details on the incidence and prevalence data (for each	
	class/stage where appropriate). Use Irish data where possible	
-	Outline the natural history including prognosis, mortality and	
	progression features	

¹ Depending on the particular technology, not all points in this section need to be included.

	Text Requirements	Recommended Tables and Figures
1.3 - -	Pathophysiology of condition: Describe briefly with particular reference to mode of action of the technology Describe the symptoms and clinical consequences of disease	
1.4 - -	Current treatment strategy/strategies: Present current best-practice guidelines / consensus guidelines / literature-based sources for the condition Provide details of the relevant comparator(s) used for the indication under review and justify rationale	
1.5 -	Treatment of condition in Irish setting: Describe accepted treatment strategies i.e. routine clinical practice and recommended standard of care in the Irish setting	Figure: Algorithm of treatment for condition in the Irish setting.

	Text Requirements			commended Tables and Figures
1.6	6 Description of technology ²		Table: D	escription of technology to include:
-	State	relevant regulatory information:	a)	information on approved name
	0	License information for the technology for the indication(s)	b)	brand name
		specified in the submission as detailed in the summary of	c)	pharmaceutical form(s)
		product characteristics	d)	strengths available
	0	Any other existing or potential future indication(s)	e)	route of administration
	0	License status of the product for the proposed	f)	pack/package size
		indication(s) specified in the submission		
	0	Potential or actual launch date for the technology for the		
		indication(s) specified in the submission		
-	Revie	w:		
	0	mode of action and pharmacology		
	0	clinically relevant interactions		
	0	pharmacokinetics		
	0	dosing and administration guidelines		
	0	pharmaceutical form		
	0	administration requirements		
	0	recommended monitoring requirements		
-	State	anticipated place in therapy of new technology		

 $[\]overline{}^2$ Generic name should be used throughout submission in preference to proprietary name.

Section 2 – Clinical Evidence

An explicit outline of the method of locating and selecting the studies used for the clinical evidence assessment should be provided. Where a systematic review is conducted, provide an **appendix** outlining the method of conducting the systematic review (refer to PRISMA guidelines 2009, available at: http://www.prisma-statement.org/). Include search terms, databases searched, time frame, inclusion and exclusion criteria in an appendix. There should be a clear rationale for selecting and rejecting specific studies to demonstrate evidence of clinical benefits.

	Text Requirements	Recommended Tables and Figures
2.1	Clinical efficacy data for technology	Table: Overview of all clinical studies reviewed
-	Review clinical studies included providing evidence of clinical	for technology with following headings:
	benefits of the technology i.e. Phase III studies (randomised	- Reference source
	controlled trials (RCTs)), Phase I/II studies, open-label extension	- Acronym (where applicable)
	studies etc.	- Study type i.e. phase I, II, III, open-label
-	Provide an explicit overview of trials including study design,	etc.
	patient selection criteria (inclusion / exclusion), primary and	- Study description (safety, efficacy,
	secondary outcomes	dose-ranging etc.)
-	Discuss the validity of use of surrogate markers where included	- Comparator in study (and dose where
-	Discuss the patient populations studied and their generalisability	applicable)
	to the intended population for the licensed technology	- Patient population studied (including
-	Provide an in-depth analysis of the primary and relevant	sample size and inclusion / exclusion
	secondary outcomes of trials	- criteria)

	Text Requirements	Recommended Tables and Figures
	Specify where results are based on interim analyses Where subgroup analyses are undertaken clearly present the rationale this and any potential limitations Results should be presented in terms of absolute and relative risk with appropriate statistical summaries (including 95% confidence intervals) Describe any limitations of the sources of the clinical efficacy data Provide details of any ongoing studies for the technology in the indication(s) under review	 Primary and relevant secondary outcome(s) Table: Analysis of results of primary and relevant secondary outcomes in included studies: Details of treatment arms and relevant baseline characteristics and results of primary and relevant secondary outcome(s) Absolute and relative risk with appropriate statistical summaries
2.2 - - -	Safety data for technology Detail sources of data for clinical adverse effects of the technology i.e. case reports, observational or controlled trials Where subgroup analyses are undertaken, clearly present the rationale for this and any potential limitations Results should be presented in terms of absolute and relative risk with appropriate statistical summaries Describe any additional safety issues for the technology	Table : Summary of safety data, include absolute and relative risk with appropriate statistical summaries

	Text Requirements	Recommended Tables and Figures
2.3	Efficacy/effectiveness data for comparator(s)	Table: Same as for tables recommended in
2.5	Review clinical studies included providing evidence of clinical	
-	benefits of the technology i.e. Phase III studies (RCTs), Phase I/I	
	studies, open-label extension studies and relevant post-	
	marketing studies etc.	
-	Provide an explicit overview of trials including study design,	
	patient selection criteria (inclusion / exclusion), primary and	
	secondary outcomes	
-	Discuss the validity of use of surrogate markers where included	
-	Discuss the patient populations studied and their generalisability	
	to the intended population for the indication under review	
-	Provide an in-depth analysis of the primary and secondary	
	outcomes of trials	
-	Specify where results are based on interim analyses	
-	Where subgroup analyses are undertaken clearly present	
	rationale for same and limitations	
-	Results should be presented in terms of absolute and relative risk	
	with appropriate statistical summaries	
-	Describe any limitations of the trials that may affect the quality of	
	the evidence included in the submission	

Text Requirements		Recommended Tables and Figures
2.4 - - -	Safety data for comparator(s) Detail sources of data for clinical adverse effects (method of location and selection) of the technology i.e. case reports, observational or controlled trials Where subgroup analyses are undertaken, clearly present rationale for same and limitations Results should be presented in terms of absolute and relative risk with appropriate statistical summaries Describe any additional safety issues for the comparator(s)	Table : Same as for tables recommended in section 2.2 above
2.5 - - -	Recommendations on summarising the evidence Provide details of the rationale supporting the choice of studies to provide the clinical evidence. Outline criteria for including / excluding trials from the evidence base Clearly outline the methods used to combine data from different studies Methods used to conduct meta-analysis of direct evidence from head-to-head studies should be clearly described Indirect comparisons may be required if no head to head clinical trials with active comparators are available	 Table: Summary of studies included Figure: Network diagram of selected studies for indirect comparison Figure – Forest plot of results using RR, OR or absolute findings (or regression analysis where applicable)

Text Requirements	Recommended Tables and Figures
- Provide details of the studies used in the indirect comparison and	
include a network diagram of selected studies.	
- The method of deriving an estimate of clinical benefit or adverse	
effects should be clearly described	
- Heterogeneity between studies and quality of the primary studies	
should be discussed	
- Results should be presented in terms of absolute risk and relative	
risk with appropriate statistical summaries	

Section 3 – Description of Economic Evaluation

	Text Requirements	Recommended Tables and Figures
3.1	Introduction	Table: Summary of parameter estimates, with
-	Clearly define the study question addressed (objective)	base case values, range, distributions and
-	Detail the type of evaluation e.g. Cost-utility analysis (CUA)	sources
-	State the perspective of the study i.e. HSE ³ for reference case	
-	State the comparator(s) for the base case and any additional	
	scenarios	
-	State time period over which costs and benefits measured (time	
	horizon) with appropriate rationale	
-	State whether discounting for costs and benefits was undertaken	
	and the rate of discounting	
3.2	Base case / scenario details	
-	State the reimbursement scheme under which the base case is	
	undertaken (e.g. GMS* scheme or HTDS*)	
-	Detail alternative scenarios investigated where appropriate (e.g.	
	DP* scheme, hospital only)	

³ Health Service Executive - publicly-funded health and social care system in Ireland * GMS: General Medical Services, HTDS: High Tech Drug Scheme, DP: Drug Payments

	Text Requirements	Recommended Tables and Figures
-	Provide details of the outcome of the analysis e.g.	
	 cost per quality-adjusted life year (cost/QALY) 	
	 cost per life years gained (cost/LYG) 	
	 cost per adverse event avoided 	
	 cost per hospitalisation avoided etc. 	
-	Indicate the primary (i.e. QALY) and secondary outcomes (e.g.	
	LYG) of the analysis	
3.3	Model description	Figure: Diagram of model structure
-	Provide a clear description of the economic model	
-	Methods for the quality assurance of the model should be	
	described and details of the model validation provided (include	
	model development history where appropriate)	
-	Baseline estimates of survival should be derived from published	
	population-based sources (i.e. Irish life tables; <u>www.cso.ie</u>).	
-	Outline the method(s) of sensitivity analysis undertaken (i.e. one-	
	way / probabilistic)	

	Text Requirements	Recommended Tables and Figures
3.4	Model inputs	
a)	Effectiveness data	
-	Where modelling of efficacy / effectiveness data is undertaken to	
	populate the model, provide a clear explanation of the	
	methodology or methodologies used and the rationale	
-	State the source of the effectiveness estimates used and cross-	
	reference to section 2 (clinical evidence) of the submission	
-	Provide a summary of key assumptions surrounding efficacy,	
	effectiveness and safety / tolerability at the end of this section	
b)	Measurement of resource use and costs	Table: Cost data including description of
-	Describe the method used to identify, measure and value	resource use data, quantity of resources, unit
	resource use (e.g. hospitalisations, primary care visits,	costs, and source of resource use and unit cost
	management of adverse events, costs associated with waning	data
	efficacy and switching treatments etc.) and unit cost data. Include	
	justification and source of data. Irish data should be used where	
	possible - if data are applied from other jurisdictions, justify the	
	rationale.	
-	Where cost data are obtained from the literature, methods used	

	Text Requirements	Recommended Tables and Figures
-	to identify data should be described. Where several sources are available, justification should be provided for the choice of cost Report resource use items and unit costs separately Provide a clear description of the drug cost data for the new technology, the comparator (s), and concurrent medication (e.g. to manage adverse events). Include a description of relevant margins and pharmacy dispensing fees in disaggregated form ⁴ . Provide a summary of key assumptions used to estimate resource use and cost data at the end of this section	
c) - -	Valuing health-related quality of life (HRQoL) Detail the health states that are assigned a utility weight Include source of data and justification for selection of utility values. Irish data should be used where possible. If data are applied from other jurisdictions, justify the rationale Where utility values are derived from the literature, methods used to identify data should be described. Details of any systematic reviews of the literature should be presented in an accompanying appendix. All utility values reported in the literature should be	Table: Utility scores assigned to parameters and sources of data

⁴ Refer to NCPE drug cost guidelines available at <u>www.ncpe.ie</u>

Text Requirements	Recommended Tables and Figures
 clearly described and the rationale for the value included in the evaluation provided Ensure health states reported in the literature reflect the health state in the submitted economic evaluation Where mapping of HRQoL from a disease-specific instrument to a generic instrument is undertaken, provide the rationale for doing this and a clear explanation of methods used Provide a summary of key assumptions surrounding HRQoL inputs at the end of this section 	

Section 4 – Results of Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Note: all results should be presented in a disaggregated and aggregated form (e.g. hospitalisations avoided, LYG, HRQoL and QALYs).

	Text Requirements	Recommended Tables and Figures
4.1	Base case Incremental Cost-Effectiveness Ratio (ICER) Detail explicitly the base case analysis and the resultant ICERs for the comparator(s)	Table : Costs, incremental costs, expectedQALYs, incremental QALYs and ICER (i.e.incremental cost per QALY)
		Figure: Cost-effectiveness plane of results for base case scenario (QALYs on x axis, costs on y axis)
4.2	Scenario ICER results Provide individual scenario ICER results (which can include the societal perspective)	Table: Costs, incremental costs, expected QALYs, incremental QALYs and ICER may be required for each scenario as appropriate
		Figure(s) – Cost-effectiveness plane of results for alternative scenarios (QALYs on x axis, costs on y axis) may be required for each scenario as appropriate

Section 5 – Analysis of Uncertainty

State the areas of uncertainty concerning the cost-effectiveness of the intervention (refer to key assumptions in Section 3 above).

	Text Requirements	Recommended Tables and Figures
5.1	One-way sensitivity analysis (SA)	Table: Parameters examined, base case value,
-	State key parameters explored in the one-way sensitivity analysis	range over which parameters are varied and
	and ranges over which parameters are varied. Provide	resultant effect on ICER
	justification for choice of variables and ranges for individual	
	parameters.	Figure: Tornado diagram to display the results
		of one-way sensitivity analysis
5.2	Probabilistic sensitivity analysis (PSA)	Table: Probability of cost-effectiveness at a
-	Present clear methodology of probabilistic sensitivity analysis	range of threshold ICER values
-	Undertake analysis to demonstrate cost-effectiveness of the	
	technology at a range of threshold levels	Figure(s): Probabilistic sensitivity analysis.
		Cost-effectiveness scatter plots (incremental
		QALYs on y axis, incremental costs on x axis)
		Figure(s): Cost-effectiveness acceptability
		curve(s) (CEACs) (ICER threshold on x axis,
		probability of cost-effectiveness on y axis)

Section 6 – Budget Impact Analysis

	Text Requirements	Recommended Tables and Figures
6.1 Target population		Table: Estimated number of eligible patients
- Clearl	y state the method of estimating the budget impact of the	who have the condition, estimated number of
interve	ention in the Irish setting. State sources of data and any	newly treated patients with proposed
assun	nptions. Justify any assumptions that have been made.	intervention, estimated number of patients
- Includ	e data on:	switched to proposed intervention from an
0	An estimate of the total number of patients who have the condition relating to the indication under consideration	existing treatment(s) from years 1 to 5.
	(prevalence)	
0	An estimate of the number of newly diagnosed patients	
	with the condition over the first five years after	
	introduction (Annual incidence)	
0	Estimated number of patients eligible for treatment per	
	year (prevalent cases + incident cases less those who	
	recover or die)	
0	Where specific sub-group addressed include number of	
	eligible patients	
0	Provide an estimate of the number of patients currently	
	treated for the condition	

	Text Requirements	Recommended Tables and Figures
_	 Provide an estimate of the number of patients likely to be treated with the proposed technology (these may be newly treated patients or patients who are switched from an existing treatment). Include any assumptions related to market share and forecasted uptake. Assess annual number of eligible patients over five year horizon 	
6.2 Co	osting	Table: Drug costs for the proposed new
-	Include the annual direct costs associated with the new treatment	intervention and existing treatment(s).
	including:	
	 Average dose and duration of therapy (range) 	
	 Average cost per patient per year over five year period 	
_	Report resource use and unit cost data separately	
-	Include a description of relevant margins and pharmacy	
	dispensing fees in disaggregated form ⁵ .	
-	Include any direct cost savings associated with the new	
	treatment over time. In general this would include cost offsets	
	from switching from an alternative therapy, potential savings if	
	switching from a parenteral to an oral product.	

⁵ Refer to NCPE drug cost guidelines available at <u>www.ncpe.ie</u>

Text Requirements	Recommended Tables and Figures
6.3 Budget impact	Table: Total budget impact of introducing
 Provide a summary of the total and incremental budget impact in 	proposed new intervention from years one to
each of the first five years following introduction.	five.
- The total budget impact should include annual costs associated	
with the introduction of the new technology.	Table: Incremental budget impact (including
- The incremental budget impact should reflect the annual cost of	replacement costs of existing treatments and
introducing the new technology and the impact of replacing	any potential cost offsets) from years one to
existing technologies and other potential cost offsets.	five.
6.4 Analysis of uncertainty	Table: Parameters examined, base case value,
- Uncertainty around key parameters should be explored. At a	range over which parameters are varied and
minimum the following parameters should be included in a one-	resultant effect on budget impact.
way sensitivity analysis:	
 Number of eligible patients per year 	
 Uptake rate of new technology 	
 Rate of replacement of existing technology(ies) 	
 Cost of new technology 	
 Cost of comparator where uncertainty exists (e.g. 	
comparator not currently reimbursed or published prices	
not available)	

Text Requirements	Recommended Tables and Figures
 Cost of other potential cost offsets Scenario analyses may also be required to explore the impact of reimbursement under alternative reimbursement schemes. Justification should be provided for the range of values included in the sensitivity analysis. 	

Section 8 – Conclusion

An overview of the main findings of the submission may be provided.

Bibliography

- References can be presented in Vancouver or Harvard style.
- References used for any systematic review(s) may be provided in the relevant appendix.

Appendices

The following may be included as supplementary appendices:

- Details of systematic reviews
- Summary of product characteristics
- Any other relevant supporting data

Please submit the following information to the NCPE Review Group:

- 1. Electronic copy of submission
- 2. Electronic copy of the cost-effectiveness and budget impact models
- 3. Three bound paper copies of the submission
- 4. Electronic copies of the references included in the bibliography

End of Document